

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/051,519	01/18/2002	Rajko Milovanovic	TI-31700	1610	
23494	7590 01/12/2006		EXAMINER		
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED			TRUONG, LAN DAI T		
P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999 DALLAS, TX 75265			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2143		

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/051,519	MILOVANOVIC, RAJKO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
lan dai thi truong	2143		

	ian dai thi truong	2143					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence ado	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 24 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailir	ig date of the final reject	ion.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed <u>AMENDMENTS</u> 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	·	·	_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an o	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			•				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•	, , ,	•				
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper i	No(s)					

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Applicant's argument filed 10/24/2005 has been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The application is not placed in condiction for allowance because:

Applicant argues that:

"Claim 1, as amended, calls for "A method of generating an E-mail message comprising the steps of: generating a draft of the message, proofreading the draft of the message and acknowleding message is proofread and finished, signing the draft, only after signing the draft and acknowleding proofread and finished permitting addressing of the message, performing checking of the address and only after addressing the message and performing checking of the address and signing the draft permitting sending the E-mail" Examiner disagrees with applicant and maintains the action:

with an analogous invention, Cook discloses a system and method for extracting and formating the message as a page for delevering to intended recipient from a temporary storage: abstract, lines 1-14, page 3, paragraph [0046]; [0049];

Applicant argues that:

...there is nothing about proofreading the draft o the message and ackowledging message is proofread and fininshed by the author or for that matter any sender. There is nothing in the Cook reference about only after signing the draft and acknowlegding proofread and finished permitting addressing of the message

Examiner disagrees with applicant and maintains the action:

The Cook discloses method of wrapping a secure Email and applying a signature that can be verifyed by a recipient [0047]. Wrapping application includes a forwarding application that can be used to forward secure message to intended recipient [0047]. The signature magager used to verify the authentication for message delivery, This process is shared functionality with proofreading process [0049]. Cook also discloses the associating between stored keys and email addresses in key file [0049], lines 15-20; [0046]-[0048]; [0071-0073]; [0092]; [0104]; [0115]; [0146]

DAVID-WILEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
COMNOLOGY CENTER 2100